

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

NETSPHERE, INC., Et al,	§	
<i>Plaintiffs,</i>	§	
vs.	§	Civil Action No. 3-09-CV-0988-L
	§	
JEFFREY BARON, Et al.,	§	
<i>Defendants.</i>	§	

APPENDIX INDEX

Exhibit A - Baron-McNair Counsel's Threat to Fabulous	2
Exhibit B - Keating's Recent Filing.....	5
Exhibit C - Baron-McNair Counsel Threat Letter	22



Level 33
Waterfront Place
1 Eagle Street
Brisbane QLD 4000
Australia

T +61 7 3244 8000
F +61 7 3244 8999

www.kwm.com

11 August 2014

URGENT

Mr Erhan Karabardak
Director
Cooper Mills Lawyers
Level 4
459 Little Collins Street
MELBOURNE VIC 3000
erhan.karabardak@coopermills.com.au

Dear Erhan

Novo Point – Quantec domain names

We understand that you act for Fabulous, the registrar in relation to the above referenced domain names.

We are solicitors for Novo Point, LLC and Quantec, LLC, both Cook Islands corporations, and on behalf of RPV Ltd, trustee of the Village Trust. The Trust is a Cook Islands trust and owner of both Novo Point and Quantec.

Our clients intend to seek urgent injunctive relief against your client, to prevent your client from unlocking so as to permit transfer or any change to the WHOIS (including name server designation) to any accounts in which Novo Point or Quantec directly or indirectly hold domain names with Fabulous.

While we understand that Fabulous does not wish to be placed in a situation in which it must adjudicate matters, we do not believe Fabulous is being placed in such a position.

With respect to the US Court Orders apparently referenced by Ms. Elissa Katz, such orders merely directed that the Receiver in that action tender assets to Ms. Katz. The Court Orders explicitly stated the Court's refusal to determine control or ownership of the LLCs or whether Ms. Katz had authority going forward. The Orders state in relevant part as follows:

“The court rejects the Receiver’s request for it to conduct a show cause hearing or proceedings to determine the ownership of the Baron or Novo Point/Quantec assets, and will not consider any evidence in this regard. The court therefore denies the Receiver’s request for a scheduling conference. While the ownership of Novo Point and Quantec may have been relevant in the bankruptcy proceeding, such a determination is not relevant or necessary to comply with the Fifth Circuit’s mandate that the receivership, the creation of which was determined to be improper, be wound down expeditiously. Thus, any such determination is outside of the court’s jurisdiction. For the same reason, the court does not and will not have



Cooper Mills Lawyers

11 August 2014

jurisdiction in this case over any claims and disputes regarding the ownership of the receivership.

Accordingly, except for cash currently remaining in the receivership, the Receiver is directed to return without delay by March 7, 2014, all of Baron's receivership assets to Baron, and all receivership assets of Novo Point and Quantec shall be returned to Novo Point's and Quantec's designated and authorized **agent** Lisa Katz."¹

The Court reiterated its refusal to inquire into authority, control or ownership in the 10 March Order.

Baron contends that there is no evidence before the court that Lisa Katz, the person designated by Novo Point LLC and Quantec LLC, is the current manager of the LLCs, and that steps have been taken to terminate Christopher Payne as counsel for the LLCs. For the reasons previously explained, the court concludes that it would be improper for it to conduct proceedings regarding the ownership of Novo Point LLC and Quantec LLC, that it is unnecessary for the court to engage in such an extensive undertaking to effect the winding down of the receivership, and that such proceedings would unnecessarily delay the winding down of the receivership. Further, as Baron previously requested the Fifth Circuit to clarify that he is not the principal beneficiary of Novo Point LLC and Quantec LLC, it does not appear that he has standing to oppose the manner in which the court has directed the Receiver to return the Novo Point LLC and Quantec LLC receivership assets.²

Thus, in any situation, Katz is an *agent* and as such is beholden to the principal. As you know from Mr. Keating's letter to you of 8 August, Ms. Katz is refusing to submit to any principal AND, more egregiously, not undertaking any efforts to seek instruction from any principal. Rather, she is undertaking all efforts to block any attempt by the principals to gain control. Should the accounts be unlocked it is believed that she will undertake to immediately transfer the domain names of our client. This is harm that our client will suffer, where damages may not be an adequate remedy.

In the circumstances, **Fabulous will be taking significant risks if it relies upon the US Court Order references by Ms Katz. In short, as discussed above, the US Court Order is not directly on point, and a US Court does not have the appropriate jurisdiction that can be relied upon by Fabulous in this instance.**

A Declaratory Relief Application is presently pending in the Cook Islands. The Application seeks to confirm the authority of the current trustee of the Trust as well as of the LLCs' manager, Mr. David McNair. These issues are exclusively within the jurisdiction of the Cook Islands Courts as they pertain to Cook Islands entities controlled by Cook Islands laws. The trustee is a Cook Islands entity and Mr. McNair is a Cook Islands resident.

As you know, judges in the Cook Islands Courts are experienced judges and other legal experts usually sourced from either Australia or New Zealand. The application is well underway and I understand you have received a copy of the filings from Mr. Keating, an attorney who also acts for my clients. **There should be no reason why Fabulous should not be satisfied with a judgment from a Cook Islands Court.**

We therefore request that Fabulous consent to retaining the lock on the accounts pending resolution of the Cook Islands application. In the event this is for some reason not satisfactory, we request that the lock

¹ *Netsphere* action, Court Order dated February 28, 2014, Doc 1368, p.9. Emphasis added. A copy was provided to you with Mr. Keating's letter of August 8, 2014.

² *Netsphere* action, Court Order dated March 11, 2014, Doc 1397, p. 2. A copy was provided to you with Mr. Keating's letter of August 8, 2014.



Cooper Mills Lawyers

11 August 2014

remain in place for 10 days from the date of this letter, to allow our clients to file for urgent injunctive relief in the Supreme Court of Queensland. In the event such a local action is required, please kindly confirm that you will accept service on behalf of Fabulous?

Our clients reserve all their rights.

Yours sincerely

John Swinson

John Swinson
Partner in Charge, Brisbane
T +61 7 3244 8050
john.swinson@au.kwm.com

No. **13-10696**

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

NETSPHERE, INCORPORATED; ET AL,
Plaintiffs,

vs.

JEFFREY BARON,
Defendant-Appellant,

QUANTEC L.L.C.; NOVO POINT, L.L.C.,
Movants-Appellants

vs.

PETER S. VOGEL,
Appellee,

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division
Docket No. 3:09-CV-988

**APPELLANTS NOVO POINT, LLC AND QUANTEC, LLC'S
UNOPPOSED EXPEDITED MOTION TO EXTEND DEADLINE TO
FILE APPELLANTS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION OF GARDERE
WYNNE SEWELL LLP TO DISMISS APPEAL OF NOVO
POINT/QUANTEC; ALTERNATIVE MOTION TO ABATE AND
REMAND TO DETERMINE APPELLATE JURISDICTION (DOC
00512724150)**

/s/ Paul Raynor Keating
PAUL RAYNOR KEATING
173 Balmes 2^o 2^a, 08006
Barcelona, Spain, Tel. (415)
937.0836, Fax. (415) 358.4450
*Attorneys for Appellants, Novo
Point LLC and Quantec LLC*

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGES OF THIS COURT:

Novo Point, LLC and Quantec, LLC (“Appellants”) respectfully request that the Court grant a TEN (10) day extension to file Appellants’ Opposition to the Motion Of Gardere Wynne Sewell LLP To Dismiss Appeal Of Novo Point/Quantec; Alternative Motion To Abate And Remand To Determine Appellate Jurisdiction (Doc 00512724150) and would show:

Gardere Wynne Sewell LLP is indicated in the Court’s docket as a non-party in this Appeal.

On August 6, 2014, Appellants’ counsel received notice via the Electronic Court Filing System of the above motion filed by Gardere Wynne Sewell, LLP (“Gardere”).

Appellants’ counsel, a sole practitioner, has been required to respond to a Summary Judgment Motion in Novo Point, filed August 13, 2014 in *Novo Point, LLC And Quantec, LLC, Plaintiffs v. Elisa Katz, et al.*, Civil Action No. 3:14-cv-01552-L, pending in The United States District Court For The Northern District Of Texas, and has been, and remains, in the process of preparing and responding to filings undertaken in an action filed in foreign jurisdictions, including the Cook Islands and in Queensland Australia. When it became apparent that Mr. Keating could not complete the above and timely respond to Gardere’s motion, he consulted with counsel requesting a short extension.

On August 12, 2014, Appellants’ counsel, Mr. Keating, forwarded an email to all relevant counsel in this Appeal requesting a ten (10) day extension in which to file Appellants’ Opposition. A true and correct copy of Mr. Keating’s email is attached as Exhibit “A”.

On August 12, 2014, Mr. Keating received an email from David J. Schenck, counsel for Appellee Mr. Peter S. Vogel, indicating no opposition to the request. A true and correct copy of Mr. Schenck’s email is attached as Exhibit “B”.

During a telephone conference on August 12, 2014, Mr. Leonard Simon of Pendergraft & Simon, LLC, counsel for appellant Jeffery Baron, orally consented to the requested extension.

On August 13, 2014, Mr. Keating received an email from Stacy Obenhaus, one of the counsel listed for Gardere, indicating no opposition to the request. A true and correct copy of Mrs. Obenhaus' email is attached as Exhibit "C".

On August 6, 2014, this Court granted the request of Appellee Mr. Peter S. Vogel, extending the briefing schedule in this matter until September 19, 2014.

On August 6, 2014, Gardere filed a MOTION to extend time to an opening brief until September 19, 2014. Appellants do not oppose Gardere's motion to extend time.

This extension is being requested in good faith and not for purposes of delaying or harassing. It is requested so that Appellants' may have a fair opportunity to respond to the motion seeking dismissal of their appeal.

The instant motion is unopposed by Appellee and by movant, Gardere.

The short extension requested will not act to prejudice any party or this Court.

WHEREFOR, Appellants respectfully request that this Court issue an order providing that Appellants' Opposition to Gardere's motion to dismiss shall be due on August 25, 2014.

/s/ Paul Raynor Keating
PAUL RAYNOR KEATING
173 Balmes 2^o 2^a, 08006
Barcelona, Spain, Tel. (415)
937.0836, Fax. (415) 358.4450
Attorneys for Appellants, Novo
Point LLC and Quantec LLC

EXHIBIT "A"

Subject: Request for Extension to File Opposition to Motions Re: Netsphere/Baron/Quantec, No. 13-10696, US Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit
Date: Tuesday, August 12, 2014 7:50:44 PM Central European Summer Time
From: Paul Keating <paul@law.es>
To: Obenhaus, Stacy <sobenhaus@gardere.com>, m.tayari@tayarilaw.com <m.tayari@tayarilaw.com>, dschenck@dykema.com <dschenck@dykema.com>, Leonard Simon (LSimon@pendergraftsimon.com) <LSimon@pendergraftsimon.com>, craig.c@westllp.com <craig.c@westllp.com>, whaddock@pendergraftsimon.com <whaddock@pendergraftsimon.com>, mbprobus@w-plaw.com <mbprobus@w-plaw.com>
Priority: High

Dear Ms. Obenhaus and everyone else,

In reference to Gardere Wynne's pending motion set out in Doc 00512724150, I ask that you kindly consent to a 10 day extension of time such that the Opposition for Novo Point and Quantec are due on the 25th of August.

I am currently preparing an opposition in a motion for summary judgment in another action and have a substantial amount of work to do with a filing in the Cook Islands and another in Queensland Australia. Given my workload I will not be able to complete an opposition to your motion on or before its due date of the 15th.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,
Paul Raynor Keating, Esq.
[Law.es](mailto:paul@law.es)
Tel. +34 93 368 0247 (Spain)
Tel. +447531400177 (UK)
Fax. (Europe) +34 93 396 0810
Fax. (US)(415) 358.4450
Skype: Prk-Spain
email: Paul@law.es

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS E-MAIL IS CONFIDENTIAL AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION SUBJECT TO THE ATTORNEY/CLIENT OR WORK-PRODUCT PRIVILEGE. THE INFORMATION IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, NO WAIVER OF PRIVILEGE IS MADE OR INTENDED AND YOU ARE REQUESTED TO PLEASE DELETE THE EMAIL AND ANY ATTACHMENTS.

Circular 230 Disclosure: To assure compliance with Treasury Department rules governing tax practice, we hereby inform you that any advice contained herein (including in any attachment) (1) was not written or intended to be used, and cannot be used, by you or any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding any penalties that may be imposed on you or any taxpayer and (2) may not be used or referred to by you or any other person in connection with promoting, marketing or recommending to another person any transaction or matter addressed herein.

NOTHING CONTAINED IN THIS EMAIL SHALL CONSTITUTE THE FORMATION OF AN ATTORNEY/CLIENT RELATIONSHIP; SUCH A RELATIONSHIP MAY BE FORMED WITH THIS FIRM AND ATTORNEY ONLY BY SEPARATE FORMAL WRITTEN ENGAGEMENT AGREEMENT, WHICH THIS IS NOT. IN THE ABSENCE OF SUCH AN AGREEMENT, NOTHING CONTAINED HEREIN SHALL CONSTITUTE LEGAL ADVICE

From: "Obenhaus, Stacy" <sobenhaus@gardere.com>

Date: Thursday, July 31, 2014 5:21 PM

To: Paul Keating <paul@law.es>, "m.tavari@tavarilaw.com" <m.tavari@tavarilaw.com>, "dschenck@dykema.com" <dschenck@dykema.com>, "Leonard H. Simon" <lsimon@pendergraftsimon.com>, "craig.c@westllp.com" <craig.c@westllp.com>, "whaddock@pendergraftsimon.com" <whaddock@pendergraftsimon.com>, "mbprobus@w-plaw.com" <mbprobus@w-plaw.com>, Paul Keating <paul@law.es>, Paul Keating <prkeating@renovaltd.com>

Subject: Netsphere/Baron/Quantec, No. 13-10696, US Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit

Anyone object to Gardere appearing in the appeal to seek the relief requested below? Anyone object to the relief?

From: Obenhaus, Stacy

Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2014 12:18 PM

To: paul@law.es; 'm.tavari@tavarilaw.com'; dschenck@dykema.com; Leonard Simon (LSimon@pendergraftsimon.com); 'craig.c@westllp.com'; 'whaddock@pendergraftsimon.com'; 'mbprobus@w-plaw.com'; 'Paul@law.es'; 'PRKeating@Renovaltd.com'

Subject: Netsphere/Baron/Quantec, No. 13-10696, US Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit

At your earliest convenience, let me know if your clients will oppose a motion by the Gardere law firm to dismiss the appeals as to Gardere, with regard to both Baron and the Novo Point/Quantec parties.

Also . . .

At your earliest convenience, let me know if your clients will oppose a motion by the Gardere law firm (a) to abate the appeal, and remand to the district court to determine the issues being raised there regarding the attorneys' authority to file the appeal on behalf of Novo Point/Quantec, (b) to abate all briefing deadlines pending that determination, and (c) in the alternative, to extend for 30 days any briefing deadline the Gardere law firm has for filing any principal brief as appellee in this case.

Stacy R. Obenhaus

Board Certified - Civil Appellate Law

Texas Board of Legal Specialization

Gardere Wynne Sewell LLP

3000 Thanksgiving Tower

1601 Elm Street

Dallas, Texas 75201-4761

Tel: 214.999.4868

Fax: 214.999.3868

sobenhaus@gardere.com

www.gardere.com

GARDERE

Austin | Dallas | Houston | Mexico City

EXHIBIT "B"

Subject: RE: Request for Extension to File Opposition to Motions Re: Netsphere/Baron/Quantec, No. 13-10696, US Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit
Date: Tuesday, August 12, 2014 8:26:31 PM Central European Summer Time
From: Schenck, David <DSchenck@dykema.com>
To: Paul Keating <paul@law.es>, Obenhaus, Stacy <sobenhaus@gardere.com>, m.tayari@tayarilaw.com <m.tayari@tayarilaw.com>, Leonard Simon (LSimon@pendergraftsimon.com) <LSimon@pendergraftsimon.com>, craig.c@westllp.com <craig.c@westllp.com>, whaddock@pendergraftsimon.com <whaddock@pendergraftsimon.com>, mbprobus@w-plaw.com <mbprobus@w-plaw.com>

I have no objection one way or the other.

Dykema

David J. Schenck
Member
DSchenck@dykema.com

214-462-6413 Direct
214-462-6400 Main
855-227-4721 Fax

Comerica Bank Tower
1717 Main Street, Suite 4000
Dallas, Texas 75201
www.dykema.com

From: Paul Keating [mailto:paul@law.es]
Sent: Tuesday, August 12, 2014 12:51 PM
To: Obenhaus, Stacy; m.tayari@tayarilaw.com; Schenck, David; Leonard Simon (LSimon@pendergraftsimon.com); craig.c@westllp.com; whaddock@pendergraftsimon.com; mbprobus@w-plaw.com
Subject: Request for Extension to File Opposition to Motions Re: Netsphere/Baron/Quantec, No. 13-10696, US Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit
Importance: High

Dear Ms. Obenhaus and everyone else,

In reference to Gardere Wynne's pending motion set out in Doc 00512724150, I ask that you kindly consent to a 10 day extension of time such that the Opposition for Novo Point and Quantec are due on the 25th of August.

I am currently preparing an opposition in a motion for summary judgment in another action and have a substantial amount of work to do with a filing in the Cook Islands and another in Queensland Australia. Given my workload I will not be able to complete an opposition to your motion on or before its due date of the 15th.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,
Paul Raynor Keating, Esq.
Law.es
Tel. +34 93 368 0247 (Spain)
Tel. +447531400177 (UK)
Fax. (Europe) +34 93 396 0810
Fax. (US)(415) 358.4450
Skype: Prk-Spain
email: Paul@law.es

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS E-MAIL IS CONFIDENTIAL AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION SUBJECT TO THE ATTORNEY/CLIENT OR WORK-PRODUCT PRIVILEGE. THE INFORMATION IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, NO WAIVER OF PRIVILEGE IS MADE OR INTENDED AND YOU ARE REQUESTED TO PLEASE DELETE THE EMAIL AND ANY ATTACHMENTS.

Circular 230 Disclosure: To assure compliance with Treasury Department rules governing tax practice, we hereby inform you that any advice contained herein (including in any attachment) (1) was not written or intended to be used, and cannot be used, by you or any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding any penalties that may be imposed on you or any taxpayer and (2) may not be used or referred to by you or any other person in connection with promoting, marketing or recommending to another person any transaction or matter addressed herein.

NOTHING CONTAINED IN THIS EMAIL SHALL CONSTITUTE THE FORMATION OF AN ATTORNEY/CLIENT RELATIONSHIP; SUCH A RELATIONSHIP MAY BE FORMED WITH THIS FIRM AND ATTORNEY ONLY BY SEPARATE FORMAL WRITTEN ENGAGEMENT AGREEMENT, WHICH THIS IS NOT. IN THE ABSENCE OF SUCH AN AGREEMENT, NOTHING CONTAINED HEREIN SHALL CONSTITUTE LEGAL ADVICE

From: "Obenhaus, Stacy" <sobenhaus@gardere.com>
Date: Thursday, July 31, 2014 5:21 PM
To: Paul Keating <paul@law.es>, "m.tayari@tavarilaw.com" <m.tayari@tavarilaw.com>, "dschenck@dykema.com" <dschenck@dykema.com>, "Leonard H. Simon" <lsimon@pendergraftsimon.com>, "craig.c@westllp.com" <craig.c@westllp.com>, "whaddock@pendergraftsimon.com" <whaddock@pendergraftsimon.com>, "mbprobus@w-plaw.com" <mbprobus@w-plaw.com>, Paul Keating <paul@law.es>, Paul Keating <prkeating@renovaltd.com>
Subject: Netsphere/Baron/Quantec, No. 13-10696, US Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit

Anyone object to Gardere appearing in the appeal to seek the relief requested below? Anyone object to the relief?

From: Obenhaus, Stacy
Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2014 12:18 PM
To: paul@law.es; 'm.tayari@tavarilaw.com'; dschenck@dykema.com; Leonard Simon (LSimon@pendergraftsimon.com); 'craig.c@westllp.com'; 'whaddock@pendergraftsimon.com'; 'mbprobus@w-plaw.com'; 'Paul@law.es'; 'PRKeating@Renovaltd.com'
Subject: Netsphere/Baron/Quantec, No. 13-10696, US Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit

At your earliest convenience, let me know if your clients will oppose a motion by the Gardere law firm to dismiss the appeals as to Gardere, with regard to both Baron and the Novo Point/Quantec parties.

Also . . .

At your earliest convenience, let me know if your clients will oppose a motion by the Gardere law firm (a) to abate the appeal, and remand to the district court to determine the issues being raised there regarding the attorneys' authority to file the appeal on behalf of Novo Point/Quantec, (b) to abate all briefing deadlines pending that determination, and (c) in the alternative, to extend for 30 days any briefing deadline the Gardere law firm has for filing any principal brief as appellee in this case.



Stacy R. Obenhaus
Board Certified - Civil Appellate Law
Texas Board of Legal Specialization
Gardere Wynne Sewell LLP
3000 Thanksgiving Tower

1601 Elm Street
Dallas, Texas 75201-4761
Tel: 214.999.4868
Fax: 214.999.3868
sobenhaus@gardere.com
www.gardere.com



*** Notice from Dykema Gossett PLLC: This Internet message may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure. It is intended for use only by the person to whom it is addressed. If you have received this in error, please (1) do not forward or use this information in any way; and (2) contact me immediately. Neither this information block, the typed name of the sender, nor anything else in this message is intended to constitute an electronic signature unless a specific statement to the contrary is included in this message.

EXHIBIT "C"

Subject: RE: Request for Extension to File Opposition to Motions Re: Netsphere/Baron/Quantec, No. 13-10696, US Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit
Date: Wednesday, August 13, 2014 3:38:32 PM Central European Summer Time
From: Obenhaus, Stacy <sobenhaus@gardere.com>
To: Paul Keating <paul@law.es>
CC: Baker, Evan <ebaker@gardere.com>

Do not oppose.

From: Paul Keating [mailto:paul@law.es]
Sent: Tuesday, August 12, 2014 6:48 PM
To: Schenck, David; Obenhaus, Stacy; m.tayari@tayarilaw.com; Leonard Simon (LSimon@pendergrafsimon.com); craig.c@westllp.com; whaddock@pendergrafsimon.com; mbprobust@wplaw.com
Subject: Re: Request for Extension to File Opposition to Motions Re: Netsphere/Baron/Quantec, No. 13-10696, US Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit

Thank you David,

Stacy, could you please let me know?

Sincerely,
Paul Raynor Keating, Esq.
[Law.es](mailto:Paul@law.es)
Tel. +34 93 368 0247 (Spain)
Tel. +447531400177 (UK)
Fax. (Europe) +34 93 396 0810
Fax. (US)(415) 358.4450
Skype: Prk-Spain
email: Paul@law.es

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS E-MAIL IS CONFIDENTIAL AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION SUBJECT TO THE ATTORNEY/CLIENT OR WORK-PRODUCT PRIVILEGE. THE INFORMATION IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, NO WAIVER OF PRIVILEGE IS MADE OR INTENDED AND YOU ARE REQUESTED TO PLEASE DELETE THE EMAIL AND ANY ATTACHMENTS.

Circular 230 Disclosure: To assure compliance with Treasury Department rules governing tax practice, we hereby inform you that any advice contained herein (including in any attachment) (1) was not written or intended to be used, and cannot be used, by you or any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding any penalties that may be imposed on you or any taxpayer and (2) may not be used or referred to by you or any other person in connection with promoting, marketing or recommending to another person any transaction or matter addressed herein.


NOTHING CONTAINED IN THIS EMAIL SHALL CONSTITUTE THE FORMATION OF AN ATTORNEY/CLIENT RELATIONSHIP; SUCH A RELATIONSHIP MAY BE FORMED WITH THIS FIRM AND ATTORNEY ONLY BY SEPARATE FORMAL WRITTEN ENGAGEMENT AGREEMENT, WHICH THIS IS NOT. IN THE ABSENCE OF SUCH AN AGREEMENT, NOTHING CONTAINED HEREIN SHALL CONSTITUTE LEGAL ADVICE

From: "Schenck, David" <DSchenck@dykema.com>
Date: Tuesday, August 12, 2014 8:26 PM

To: Paul Keating <paul@law.es>, "Obenhaus, Stacy" <sobenhaus@gardere.com>, "m.tayari@tayarilaw.com" <m.tayari@tayarilaw.com>, "Leonard H. Simon" <lsimon@pendergraftsimon.com>, "craig.c@westllp.com" <craig.c@westllp.com>, "whaddock@pendergraftsimon.com" <whaddock@pendergraftsimon.com>, "mbprobus@w-plaw.com" <mbprobus@w-plaw.com>

Subject: RE: Request for Extension to File Opposition to Motions Re: Netsphere/Baron/Quantec, No. 13-10696, US Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit

I have no objection one way or the other.

	David J. Schenck Member DSchenck@dykema.com	214-462-6413 Direct 214-462-6400 Main 855-227-4721 Fax	Comerica Bank Tower 1717 Main Street, Suite 4000 Dallas, Texas 75201 www.dykema.com
---	---	--	--

From: Paul Keating [<mailto:paul@law.es>]

Sent: Tuesday, August 12, 2014 12:51 PM

To: Obenhaus, Stacy; m.tayari@tayarilaw.com; Schenck, David; Leonard Simon (LSimon@pendergraftsimon.com); craig.c@westllp.com; whaddock@pendergraftsimon.com; mbprobus@w-plaw.com

Subject: Request for Extension to File Opposition to Motions Re: Netsphere/Baron/Quantec, No. 13-10696, US Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit

Importance: High

Dear Ms. Obenhaus and everyone else,

In reference to Gardere Wynne's pending motion set out in Doc 00512724150, I ask that you kindly consent to a 10 day extension of time such that the Opposition for Novo Point and Quantec are due on the 25th of August.

I am currently preparing an opposition in a motion for summary judgment in another action and have a substantial amount of work to do with a filing in the Cook Islands and another in Queensland Australia. Given my workload I will not be able to complete an opposition to your motion on or before its due date of the 15th.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Paul Raynor Keating, Esq.

Law.es

Tel. +34 93 368 0247 (Spain)

Tel. +447531400177 (UK)

Fax. (Europe) +34 93 396 0810

Fax. (US)(415) 358.4450

Skype: Prk-Spain

email: Paul@law.es

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS E-MAIL IS CONFIDENTIAL AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION SUBJECT TO THE ATTORNEY/CLIENT OR WORK-PRODUCT PRIVILEGE. THE INFORMATION IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, NO WAIVER OF PRIVILEGE IS MADE OR INTENDED AND YOU ARE REQUESTED TO

PLEASE DELETE THE EMAIL AND ANY ATTACHMENTS.

Circular 230 Disclosure: To assure compliance with Treasury Department rules governing tax practice, we hereby inform you that any advice contained herein (including in any attachment) (1) was not written or intended to be used, and cannot be used, by you or any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding any penalties that may be imposed on you or any taxpayer and (2) may not be used or referred to by you or any other person in connection with promoting, marketing or recommending to another person any transaction or matter addressed herein.

NOTHING CONTAINED IN THIS EMAIL SHALL CONSTITUTE THE FORMATION OF AN ATTORNEY/CLIENT RELATIONSHIP; SUCH A RELATIONSHIP MAY BE FORMED WITH THIS FIRM AND ATTORNEY ONLY BY SEPARATE FORMAL WRITTEN ENGAGEMENT AGREEMENT, WHICH THIS IS NOT. IN THE ABSENCE OF SUCH AN AGREEMENT, NOTHING CONTAINED HEREIN SHALL CONSTITUTE LEGAL ADVICE

From: "Obenhaus, Stacy" <sobenhaus@gardere.com>
Date: Thursday, July 31, 2014 5:21 PM
To: Paul Keating <paul@law.es>, "m.tayari@tayarilaw.com" <m.tayari@tayarilaw.com>, "dschenck@dykema.com" <dschenck@dykema.com>, "Leonard H. Simon" <lsimon@pendergrachtsimon.com>, "craig.c@westllp.com" <craig.c@westllp.com>, "whaddock@pendergrachtsimon.com" <whaddock@pendergrachtsimon.com>, "mbprobus@w-plaw.com" <mbprobus@w-plaw.com>, Paul Keating <paul@law.es>, Paul Keating <prkeating@renovaltd.com>
Subject: Netsphere/Baron/Quantec, No. 13-10696, US Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit

Anyone object to Gardere appearing in the appeal to seek the relief requested below? Anyone object to the relief?

From: Obenhaus, Stacy
Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2014 12:18 PM
To: paul@law.es; 'm.tayari@tayarilaw.com'; dschenck@dykema.com; Leonard Simon (LSimon@pendergrachtsimon.com); 'craig.c@westllp.com'; 'whaddock@pendergrachtsimon.com'; 'mbprobus@w-plaw.com'; 'Paul@law.es'; 'PRKeating@Renovaltd.com'
Subject: Netsphere/Baron/Quantec, No. 13-10696, US Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit

At your earliest convenience, let me know if your clients will oppose a motion by the Gardere law firm to dismiss the appeals as to Gardere, with regard to both Baron and the Novo Point/Quantec parties.

Also . . .

At your earliest convenience, let me know if your clients will oppose a motion by the Gardere law firm (a) to abate the appeal, and remand to the district court to determine the issues being raised there regarding the attorneys' authority to file the appeal on behalf of Novo Point/Quantec, (b) to abate all briefing deadlines pending that determination, and (c) in the alternative, to extend for 30 days any briefing deadline the Gardere law firm has for filing any principal brief as appellee in this case.



Stacy R. Obenhaus

Board Certified - Civil Appellate Law
Texas Board of Legal Specialization
Gardere Wynne Sewell LLP
3000 Thanksgiving Tower
1601 Elm Street
Dallas, Texas 75201-4761
Tel: 214.999.4868
Fax: 214.999.3868
sobenhaus@gardere.com
www.gardere.com

GARDERE
Austin | Dallas | Houston | Mexico City

*** Notice from Dykema Gossett PLLC: This Internet message may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure. It is intended for use only by the person to whom it is addressed. If you have received this in error, please (1) do not forward or use this information in any way; and (2) contact me immediately. Neither this information block the typed name of the sender nor anything else in this

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

The undersigned certifies that he conferred with opposing counsel regarding this Motion as follows:

1. An email exchange occurred with counsel for Appellee, David Schenck, on August 12, 2014, in which Mr. Schenck stated his client did not oppose the requested extension.

2. An email exchange occurred with Stacy Obenhaus, counsel for movant, Gardere on August 12, 2014 and by email dated August 13, 2014, Ms. Obenhaus stated her client did not oppose the requested extension.

3. An email exchange and telephone conference was held on August 12, 2014 with Leonard Simon of Pendergraft & Simon, LLC, counsel for appellant Jeffery Baron, in which Mr. Simon consented to the requested extension.

/s/ Paul Raynor Keating
PAUL RAYNOR KEATING
173 Balmes 2^o 2^a, 08006
Barcelona, Spain, Tel. (415)
937.0836, Fax. (415) 358.4450
Attorneys for Appellants, Novo
Point LLC and Quantec LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on this day, August 14, 2014, the *Appellants Novo Point, LLC's And Quantec, LLC's Unopposed Expedited Motion To Extend Deadline To File Appellants' Opposition To Motion Of Gardere Wynne Sewell LLP To Dismiss Appeal Of Novo Point/Quantec; Alternative Motion To Abate And Remand To Determine Appellate Jurisdiction (Doc 00512724150)* was electronically filed with the Clerk of the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit using the Appellate CM/ECF system. Accordingly, counsel who have entered an appearance in this case and are registered Appellate CM/ECF users will be served electronically by the Appellate CM/ECF system through their registered e-mail addresses.

/s/ Paul Raynor Keating
PAUL RAYNOR KEATING
173 Balmes 2^o 2^a, 08006
Barcelona, Spain, Tel. (415)
937.0836, Fax. (415) 358.4450
*Attorneys for Appellants, Novo
Point LLC and Quantec LLC*

From: Leonard Simon [mailto:LSimon@pendergraftsimon.com]

Sent: Friday, August 15, 2014 2:19 PM

To: Christopher A. Payne

Cc: Paul@law.es; Stephen Cochell

Subject: FW: Christopher Payne Alleges Contempt of Court Order-Substance of Consultation Call

Please see the below email. I don't mean to be this direct, but let me warn you. I have no control over anything that Paul Keating or David McNair do or have done, and neither does Jeffrey Baron. I have not counseled with David McNair about anything other than the meretricious lawsuit Domain Vault has filed against him. I know nothing about "Fabulous", whatever that is. I have never given such named company or person any directions. If you pursue any kind of action against me or Jeffrey, I will avail myself of every possible remedy. You are walking a very dangerous line here. You and Schepps are filing pleadings with courts in various cases that are being sponsored by a disbarred Texas lawyer living in Israel. I have evidence that leads me to believe this to be true. At some point this is bound to come to the surface. You need to stop these shenanigans and act responsibly, and leave Jeffrey and me out of this fight. We are not involved. When you have accusations to make about me, I expect you to have the courtesy and decency to call me about them. I do not expect to hear about them from someone else. While Steve Cochell is still attorney of record, he has long since retreated from this representation as you well know, and has been forced to stay in as counsel due to Judge Lindsay's denial of his request for substitution.



PENDERGRAFT SIMON LLP

Leonard H. Simon

Partner

Pendergraft & Simon, L.L.P.

The Riviana Building

2777 Allen Parkway, Suite 800

Houston, TX 77019

Telephone: (713) 528-8555 Ext. 207
Direct Line: (713) 737-8207
Cell Phone: (713) 253-2810
Main Fax: (713) 868-1267
Direct Fax: (832) 202-2810
E-mail: lsimon@pendergraftsimon.com
Web site: www.pendergraftsimon.com

THIS ELECTRONIC MAIL TRANSMISSION AND ANY ATTACHMENTS MAY CONTAIN PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, OR PROPRIETARY INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PERSON(S) NAMED. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR THE AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE OF THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISTRIBUTION, COPYING, OR DISCLOSURE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED.

From: Jeff B [<mailto:jeffbaron1@gmail.com>]
Sent: Friday, August 15, 2014 1:37 PM
To: Leonard Simon
Subject: Re: Christopher Payne Alleges Contempt of Court Order-Substance of Consultation Call

Len, I believe I do not have a choice but to file the complaint with the Bar

On Fri, Aug 15, 2014 at 1:31 PM, Stephen Cochell <srcochell@gmail.com> wrote:

Had a call from Payne a few minutes ago. The substance (rough notes) is set out below:

Fabulous (has domain numbers under their control) and are supposed to turn them over to Lisa Katz and Novo Point/Quantec; Fabulous told that they get letters from Keating (novo point & Quantec) that you can't release information. Sent copies of letters to Chris. About a week ago, counsel in Aus for Jeff Baron (told them they will be liable for damages caused. two letters; one from King & Wood (Brisbane) and one from Cooper Mills (Melbourne) rep Village Trust, Novo Point; He gets the impression (from Leonard Simon) that Jeff controls these entities.

When court ordered turnover to lisa katz, they contacted fabulous and [name.com](#). (Own names, you can make changes on whois, turn on/off domain names on websites) Within day or two of order, Leonard Simon advised fabulous that they should not turn over control to lisa katz.

Fabulous held it for 14 days, then another threat from Cook Islands of dire consequences if they released the names (but it did not happen) which resulted in another 14 day hold, which is apparently now expiring. Whatever letter that was recently sent to Fabulous is being construed or may suggest that there will be economic consequences if Fabulous obeys the order and changes the names.

Payne plans to pursue Paul Keating for contempt and if Leonard is behind it, then Leonard as well.

Payne is not filing a motion today. I stated that I did not know enough about the actual letters to oppose or concur in the motion, and that I would forward this to you for response. Obviously, contempt is serious stuff, and we need to respond to this issue promptly. Please give me a call.

Steve

--

Stephen R. Cochell
The Cochell Law Firm, P.C.
5555 West Loop South, Ste. 200
Bellaire, Texas 77401
[\(832\)767-1065](tel:(832)767-1065) (phone)
[\(832\)767-1686](tel:(832)767-1686) (facsimile)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This e-mail and any attachments are confidential and may be protected by legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, printing, copying, distribution or use of this e-mail including any attachment is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please destroy this email and notify the sender by e-mail of the same.